# Lossy Image Compression with Compressive Autoencoders L. Theis, W. Shi, A. Cunningham, F. Huszár Twitter, London, UK

## Introduction

Despite many advances in deep learning, the best lossy compression algorithms are still based on handcrafted algorithms.

This is largely due to the **non-differentiability of** the rate-distortion tradeoff.

The bit-rate as well as the quantization,  $[\cdot]$ , are nondifferentiable. We explore a simple approach based on a differentiable approximation of the bit-rate and a redefinition of the derivative of quantization.

## **Compressive Autoencoder**



A compressive autoencoder consists of an encoder f, a decoder g, and a probabilistic model Q:

 $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^M, \quad g: \mathbb{R}^M \to \mathbb{R}^N, \quad Q: \mathbb{Z}^M \to [0, 1]$ 

The probabilistic model is used to assign a number of bits to coefficients produced by the encoder. Our decoder is based on sub-pixel convolutions [1].

## Quantization

One strategy previously explored is to replace quantization by additive noise [e.g., 1, 2].

 $[f(\mathbf{x})] \approx f(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{\epsilon}$ 

However, this introduces artefacts which can be perceptually very different from quantization artefacts, and therefore leads to biased distortion estimates.

Original



Stochastic rounding

Uniform noise

Rounding

Instead of replacing the quantization, we keep it in the forward pass and replace it's derivative in the backward pass:

$$\frac{d}{dy}[y] := \frac{d}{dy}r(y)$$

In practice, we found the identity to work well for r.

We express the discrete and non-differentiable Q in terms of a density q:

We optimize an upper bound on the bit-rate:

Here, we use Gaussian scale mixtures to model the marginal distribution of coefficients:









## Bit-rate estimation

$$Q(\mathbf{z}) = \int_{[-.5,.5]^M} q(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}) \, d\mathbf{u}.$$

$$-\log_2 Q(\mathbf{z}) \le \int_{[-.5,.5]^M} -\log_2 q(\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}$$

$$\log_2 q(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i,j,k} \log_2 \sum_s \pi_{ks} \mathcal{N}(z_{kij} + u_{kij}; 0, \sigma_{ks}^2)$$

## Qualitative results

## PSNR, SSIM, MS-SSIM



## Mean opinion scores

Following standard practices, we asked 24 naive subjects to rate images on a scale from 1 to 5:



## References

Results on the **Kodak dataset**:

[1] Shi et al., CVPR, 2016 [2] Balle et al., arXiv:1607.05006, 2016 [3] Toderici et al., arXiv:1608.05148, 2016

### Resources

Compressed images and bit-rates: http://theis.io/compressive\_autoencoder/